

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF OREGON

EDWARD J. HARRIS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Case No. 07-810-ST
	)	
vs.	)	ORDER
	)	
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner,	)	
Social Security Administration,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

Tim Wilborn  
19093 S. Beaver Creek Road, PMB #314  
Oregon City, Oregon 97045

Attorney for Plaintiff

Karin J. Immergut  
United States Attorney  
District of Oregon  
Britannia I. Hobbs  
Assistant United States Attorney  
1000 S. W. Third Avenue, Suite 600  
Portland, Oregon 97204

Daphne Banay  
Special Assistant United States Attorney  
Social Security Administration  
701 5th Avenue, Suite 2900 M/S 901  
Seattle, Washington 98104-7075

Attorneys for Defendant

KING, Judge:

The Honorable Janice M. Stewart, United States Magistrate Judge, filed Findings and Recommendation on May 20, 2008. The matter is before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Both plaintiff and the Commissioner have filed objections and the Commissioner has filed a response to plaintiff's objections. Judge Stewart referred her Findings and Recommendation to me on June 20, 2008.

When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate's Findings and Recommendation concerning a dispositive motion or prisoner petition, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the magistrate's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Having given a *de novo* review of the issues raised in the objections to the Findings and Recommendation, I find no error.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Judge Stewart's Findings and Recommendation (#19). The Commissioner's decision is affirmed. This action is dismissed.

Dated this 15th day of July, 2008.

/s/ Garr M. King  
Garr M. King  
United States District Judge